

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ist -

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,329	08/18/2003	Hsueh-Hsing Kuo	KUOH3004/EM 1956	
23364	7590 08/22/2006	EXAMINER		INER
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			GRAHAM, MARK S	
FOURTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		3711	
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/642,329	KUO, HSUEH-HSING				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark S. Graham	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. <ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/642,329

Art Unit: 3711

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bucknum. Claims 1 and 2 are clearly anticipated. Regarding claim 5, elements 12 may be considered the threaded first weight devices and elements 11 may be considered the second weight device.

Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Barrett.

Claims 1, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Molis.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bucknum in view of Voden and Matson. Bucknum discloses the claimed device with the exception of the male/female, projection/slot on each weight to allow them to fit together. However, as disclosed by Voden it is known in the art to use such a male/female, projection/slot arrangement on the weights to help keep them concentric with one another. Voden does not use a cone shape but such are known in the art of

weighted sports implements as disclosed by Matson. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a similar fitted arrangement on Bucknum's weights to help keep them concentric with one another.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bucknum in view of Barrett.

Bucknum discloses the claimed device with the exception of the pad. However, as disclosed by Barrett it is known in the art to use such a pad, 25 to help keep the weight in place. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have done the same with Bucknum's weight for the same reason.

Hutzel and Waldo have been cited for interest because they disclose similar cues.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S.

Graham at telephone number 571-272-4410.

MSG 8/18/06

Mark S. Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Part of Paper No. 8/18/06